Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/900,344	CALDWELL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew O Savage	1723
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Matthew O Savage</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. William Lewis III</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 April 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 5-7, 11-14, and proposed new claims 15-26. Prior art documents discussed: Beer et al Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	nt/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner noted that amended claims 1 and 7 were anticipated by Beer. Mr. Lewis agreed to cancel claims, 5-7, and 11-14, and to accept new claims 15-26 proposed by the examiner to patently distinguish over Beer et al. See the attached examiner's amendment and reasons for allowance for details.